arXiv:math/0610467v1 [math.OA] 16 Oct 2006

THE C*-ALGEBRA OF SYMMETRIC WORDS IN TWO UNIVERSAL UNITARIES

MAN-DUEN CHOI AND FRÉDÉRIC LATRÉMOLIÈRE

Abstract. We compute the K-theory of the C*-algebra of symmetric words in two universal unitaries. This algebra is the fixed point C*-algebra for the order-two automorphism of the full C*-algebra of the free group on two generators which switches the generators. Our calculations relate the K -theory of this C*-algebra to the K-theory of the associated C*-crossed-product by $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

1. Introduction

This paper investigates an example of a C*-algebra of symmetric words in noncommutative variables. Our specific interest is in the C*-algebra of symmetric words in the two universal unitaries generating the full C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of the free group on two generators. Our main result is the computation of the K-theory [1] of this algebra.

The two canonical unitary generators of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are denoted by U and V. The C*-algebra of symmetric words in two universal unitaries U, V is precisely defined as the fixed point C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ for the order-2 automorphism σ which maps U to V and V to U. Our strategy to compute the K-theory of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ relies upon the work of Rieffel [6, Proposition 3.4] about Morita equivalence between fixed point C*-algebras and C*-crossed-products.

The first part of this paper describes the two algebras of interest: the fixed point C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ and the crossed-product $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ [8][5]. We also exhibit an ideal $\mathcal{J}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ which is strongly Morita equivalent to $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ and can be easily described as the kernel of an very simple *-morphism. This allows us to reduce the problem to the calculation of the K-theory of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The second part of this paper starts by this calculation. We use a standard result from Cuntz [4] to calculate the K-theory of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)\rtimes_\sigma$

Date: October 2006.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L55 (Primary), 46L80.

Key words and phrases. C*-algebra, C*-crossed-product, Fixed point C*-algebra, Action of finite groups, Free group C*-algebras, Symmetric algebras, K-theory of C*-algebras.

$\mathbb{Z}_2$. From this, we compute the K-theory of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$, using the ideal $\mathcal{J}$, using simply a six-terms exact sequence in K -theory.

We conclude the paper by looking a little closer to the obstruction to the existence of unitaries of nontrivial K-theory in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$. This amounts to comparing the structure of the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ and an ideal in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ related to the same representation as $\mathcal{J}$.

We also should mention that, in principle, using the results in [3], one could derive information on the representation theory of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ from the representations of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

2. The Fixed Point C*-algebra

Let $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) = C^*(U,V)$ be the universal C*-algebra generated by two unitaries U and V. We consider the order-2 automorphism σ of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ uniquely defined by $\sigma(U) = V$ and $\sigma(V) = U$. These relations indeed define an automorphism by universality of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Our main object of interest is the fixed point C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1 = \{a \in C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) : \sigma(a) = a\}$ which can be seen as the C*-algebra of symmetric words in two universal unitaries. The C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ is related [6] to the C*-crossed-product $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$, which we will consider in our calculations. Our objective is to gain some understanding of the structure of the unitaries and projections in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$.

The first step in our work is to describe concretely the two C*-algebras $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ and $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$. Using [6, Proposition 3.4], we also exhibit an ideal in the crossed-product $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ which is Morita equivalent to $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$.

The following easy lemma will be useful in our work:

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a unital C*-algebra and σ an order-two automorphism of A. The fixed-point C*-algebra of A for σ is the set $A_1 = \{a + \sigma(a) : a \in A\}$. Set $A_{-1} = \{a - \sigma(a) : a \in A\}$. Then $A = A_1 + A_{-1}$ and $A_1 \cap A_{-1} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\omega \in A$. Since $\sigma^2 = 1$ we have $\sigma(\omega + \sigma(\omega)) = \sigma(\omega) + \omega \in A_1$. On the other hand, if $a \in A$ then $a = \frac{1}{2}(a + \sigma(a)) + \frac{1}{2}(a - \sigma(a))$. Hence if $a \in A$ then $a - \sigma(a) = 0$ and $a \in \{\omega + \sigma(\omega) : \omega \in A\}$. Moreover this proves that $A = A_1 + A_{-1}$. Last, if $a \in A_1 \cap A_{-1}$ then $a = \sigma(a) = -\sigma(a) = 0$. ∎

We can use Lemma (2.1) to obtain a more concrete description of the fixed-point C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ of symmetric words in two unitaries:

Theorem 2.2. *Let σ be the automorphism of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) = C^*(U,V)$ defined by $\sigma(U) = V$ and $\sigma(V) = U$. Then the fixed point C*-algebra of σ is $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1 = C^*(U^n + V^n : n \in \mathbb{N})$.*

Proof. Obviously $\sigma(U^n+V^n)=U^n+V^n$ for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$C^*\left(\{U^n+V^n : n\in\mathbb{Z}\}\right)\subseteq C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1 .$$

Conversely, $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1=\{\omega+\sigma(\omega):\omega\in C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\}$ by Lemma (2.1). So $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$ is generated by elements of the form $\omega+\sigma(\omega)$ where ω is a word in $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)$, since $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)$ is generated by words in U and V, i.e. by monomial of the form$U^{a_0}V^{a_1}\ldots U^{a_n}$ with $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $a_0,\ldots,a_n\in\mathbb{Z}$. It is thus enough to show that for any word $\omega\in C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)$ we have $\omega+\sigma(\omega)\in S$ where $S=C^*\left(U^n+V^n:n\in\mathbb{Z}\right)$. Since, if ω starts with a power of V then $\sigma(\omega)$ starts with a power of U, we may as well assume that ω always starts with a power of U by symmetry. Since the result is trivial for $\omega=1$ we assume that ω starts with a nontrivial power of U. Such a word is of the form $\omega=U^{a_0}V^{a_1}\ldots U^{a_{n-1}}V^{a_n}$ with $a_0,\ldots,a_{n-1}\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ and $a_n\in\mathbb{Z}$.

We define the order of such a word ω as the integer $o(\omega)=n$ if $a_n\neq 0$ and $o(\omega)=n-1$ otherwise. In other words, $o(\omega)$ is the number of times we go from U to V or V to U in ω. The proof of our result follows from the following induction on $o(\omega)$.

By definition, if ω is a word such that $o(\omega)=0$ then $\omega+\sigma(\omega)\in S$. Let us now assume that for some $m\geq 1$ we have shown that for all words ω starting in U such that $o(\omega)\leq m-1$ we have $\omega+\sigma(\omega)\in S$. Let ω be a word of order m and let us write $\omega=U^{a_0}\omega_1$ with ω_1 a word starting in a power of V. By construction, ω_1 is of order $m-1$. Let $\omega_2=V^{a_0}\omega_1$. By construction, $o(\omega_2)=m-1$ or $m-2$. Either way, by our induction hypothesis, we have $\omega_1+\sigma(\omega_1)\in S$ and $\omega_2+\sigma(\omega_2)\in S$. Now:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega+\sigma(\omega) &= U^{a_0}\omega_1+V^{a_0}\sigma(\omega_1)\\ &= \left(U^{a_0}+V^{a_0}\right)\left(\omega_1+\sigma(\omega_1)\right)-\left(\omega_2+\sigma(\omega_2)\right)\end{aligned}$$

hence $\omega+\sigma(\omega)\in S$ and our induction is complete. Hence $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1=S$ as desired. ∎

We wish to understand more of the structure of the fixed point C*-algebra $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$. Using Morita equivalence, we can derive its K-theory. According to [6, Proposition 3.4], $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$ is strongly Morita equivalent to the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ generated in the crossed-product $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}_2$ by the spectral projection $p=\frac{1}{2}(1+W)$ of the canonical unitary W in $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes\mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $WUW=V$. We first provide a simple yet useful description of $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes\mathbb{Z}_2$ in term of unitary generators, before providing a description of the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ which will ease the calculation of its K-theory.

Lemma 2.3. *Let σ be the automorphism defined by $\sigma(U) = V$ and $\sigma(V) = U$ on the universal C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ generated by two universal unitaries U and V. By definition, $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the universal C*-algebra generated by three unitaries U, V and W subjects to the relations $W^2 = 1$ and $WUW^* = V$. The C*-crossed-product $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ is equal to $C^*(U,W)$, or equivalently is the universal C*-algebra generated by two unitaries U and W with the relation $W^2 = 1$, or equivalently $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ is *-isomorphic to $C^*(\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}_2)$.*

Proof. The C*-subalgebra $C^*(U,W)$ of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ contains $WUW = V$ and thus equals $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Let us now prove that the C*-subalgebra $C^*(U,W)$ is universal for the given relations. Let u, w be two arbitrary unitaries in some arbitrary C*-algebra such that $w^2 = 1$. Let $v = wuw \in C^*(u,w)$. By universality of the crossed-product $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ there exists a unique *-morphism $\varphi : C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow C^*(u,w)$ such that $\varphi(U) = u$, $\varphi(V) = v$ and $\varphi(W) = w$. Thus $C^*(U,W)$ is universal for the proposed relations. In particular, it is *-isomorphic (by uniqueness of the universal C*-algebra for the given relations) to $C^*(\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}_2)$. ∎

Now, the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ can be described as the kernel of a particularly explicit *-morphism of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let σ be the automorphism defined by $\sigma(U) = V$ and $\sigma(V) = U$ on the universal C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ generated by two universal unitaries U and V. Let W be the canonical unitary of the crossed-product $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $WUW = V$. The fixed point C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ is strongly Morita equivalent to the kernel $\mathcal{J}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ of the *-morphism $\varphi : C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$ defined by $\varphi(W) = -1$ and $\varphi(U)(z) = \varphi(V)(z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$.*

Proof. Let φ be the unique *-morphism from $C^*(U,W)$ into $C(\mathbb{T})$ defined using the universal property of Lemma (2.3) by: $\varphi(W)(z) = -1$ and $\varphi(U)(z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Since $\varphi(p) = 0$ by construction, $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \ker \varphi$. We wish to show that $\ker \varphi \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ as well.

Let $a \in \ker \varphi$. Let π be a representation of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ which vanishes on $\mathcal{J}$. Then $\pi(p) = 0$ so $\pi(W) = -1$. Hence, for any $b \in C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_{-1}$ (see Lemma(2.1)) then $\pi(b) = \pi(W)\pi(b)\pi(W) = \pi(-b)$ so that $\pi(b) = 0$. In particular, $\pi(U - V) = 0$ and thus $\pi(U) = \pi(V)$. Thus, up to unitary equivalence, $\pi = s \circ \varphi$ where $s : C(\mathbb{T}) \to C(S)$ with S the spectrum of $\pi(U)$ and s is the canonical surjection. In particular, $\pi(a) = s \circ \varphi(a) = 0$. Since π is arbitrary, we conclude that the image of a in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2/\mathcal{J}$ is null, and thus $a \in \mathcal{J}$ as required. ∎

Our goal now is to compute the K-theory of the fixed point C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$. Since $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ and the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ are Morita equivalent, they have the same K-theory. By Proposition (2.4), we have the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{J} \to C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} C(\mathbb{T}) \to 0$, and it seems quite reasonable to use the six-term exact sequence of K-theory to deduce the K-groups of $\mathcal{J}$ from the K-groups of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$, as long as the later can be computed. The next section precisely follows this path, starting by computing the K-theory of the crossed-product $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$.

3. K-THEORY OF THE C*-CROSSED PRODUCT AND THE FIXED POINT C*-ALGEBRA

We use the homotopy-based result in [4] to compute the K-theory of the crossed-product $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) = C^*(U, V)$ be the universal C*-algebra generated by two unitaries U and V and let σ be the order-2 automorphism of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ defined by $\sigma(U) = V$ and $\sigma(V) = U$. Then $K_0(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ is generated by the spectral projections of W and $K_1(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by U.*

Proof. By Lemma (2.3), the C*-crossed-product $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ is *-isomorphic to

$$C^*(\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}_2) = C^*(\mathbb{Z}) *_{\mathbb{C}} C^*\left(\mathbb{Z}^2\right) = C(\mathbb{T}) *_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^2$$

where the free product is amalgated over the C*-algebra generated by the respective units in each C*-algebra. More precisely, we embed $\mathbb{C}$ via, respectively, $i_1 : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto \lambda 1 \in C(\mathbb{T})$ and $i_2 : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto (\lambda, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. There are natural *-morphisms from $C(\mathbb{T})$ and from $\mathbb{C}^2$ onto $\mathbb{C}$ defined respectively by $r_1 : f \in C(\mathbb{T}) \mapsto f(1)$ and $r_2 : \lambda \oplus \mu \mapsto \lambda$. Now, $r_1 \circ i_1 = r_2 \circ i_2$ is the identity on $\mathbb{C}$. By [4], we conclude that the following sequences for $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ are exact:

$$0 \to \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{j_\varepsilon} K_\varepsilon(C(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2) \xrightarrow{k_\varepsilon} K_\varepsilon\left(C(\mathbb{T}) *_{\mathbb{C}} \ \mathbb{C}^2\right) \to 0$$

where $j_\varepsilon = K_\varepsilon(i_1) \oplus K_\varepsilon(-i_2)$ and $k_\varepsilon = K_\varepsilon(k_1 + k_2)$ where k_1 is the canonical embedding of $C(\mathbb{T})$ into $C(\mathbb{T}) *_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^2$ and k_2 is the canonical embedding of $\mathbb{C}^2$ into $C(\mathbb{T}) *_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^2$.

Now, $K_1(C(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2) = \mathbb{Z}$ generated by the identity $z \in \mathbb{T} \mapsto z \in C(\mathbb{T})$. Since $K_1(\mathbb{C}) = 0$ we conclude that $K_1(C(\mathbb{T}) *_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^2) = \mathbb{Z}$ generated by the canonical unitary generator of $C(\mathbb{T})$. On the other hand, $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2) = \mathbb{Z}^3$ (where the first copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the unit $1_{C(\mathbb{T})}$ of $C(\mathbb{T})$ and the two other copies are generated by each of the projections $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$). Now, $\operatorname{ran} j_\varepsilon$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^3$

generated by the class of $1_{C(\mathbb{T})} \oplus -1_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ where $1_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ is the unit of $\mathbb{C}^2$. This class is $(1,-1,-1)$, so we conclude easily that $K_0\left(C(\mathbb{T})\oplus\mathbb{C}^2\right)=\mathbb{Z}^2$ is generated by the two projections in $\mathbb{C}^2$ (whose classes are $(0,1,0)$ and $(0,0,1)$).

Using the *-isomorphism between $C(\mathbb{T})\oplus\mathbb{C}^2$ and $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ we conclude that $K_0\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2\right)=\mathbb{Z}^2$ is generated by the spectral projections of W while $K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the class of U (or V as these are equal by construction). ∎

Remark 3.2. *It is interesting to compare our results to the K-theory of the C^*-crossed-product by $\mathbb{Z}$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. One could proceed with the standard six-terms exact sequence* [1, Theorem 10.2.1]*, but it is even simpler to observe the following similar result to Lemma (2.3): the C^*-crossed-product $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$ is *-isomorphic to $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$. If $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is generated by the two universal unitaries U,V and W is the canonical unitary in $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}$ such that $WUW=V$ then once again $C^*(U,V,W)=C^*(U,W)$ and, following a similar argument as for Lemma (2.3) we observe that $C^*(U,W)$ is the C^*-algebra universal for two arbitrary unitaries.*

Hence, $K_0\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the identity while $K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z}^2$ is generated by U and W.

We can now deduce the K-theory of $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$ from Proposition (2.4) and Theorem (3.1). The natural way to do so is by using the six-terms exact sequence in K-theory applied to the short exact sequence $\mathcal{J}\hookrightarrow C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2 \overset{\varphi}{\twoheadrightarrow} C(\mathbb{T})$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)=C^*(U,V)$ be the universal C^*-algebra generated by two unitaries U and V and let σ be the order-2 automorphism of $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)$ defined by $\sigma(U)=V$ and $\sigma(V)=U$. Let $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1=\left\{a\in C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right):\sigma(a)=a\right\}$ be the fixed point C^*-algebra for σ. Then $K_0(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1)=\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the identity in $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$ and $K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1\right)=0$.*

Proof. By [6, Proposition 3.4], $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$ is strongly Morita equivalent to the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ generated in $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}_2$ by the projection $p=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+W\right)$. Using Proposition (2.4), we can apply the six-terms exact sequence to the short exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow \mathcal{J}\xrightarrow{i} C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} C(\mathbb{T})\longrightarrow 0$$

where i is the canonical injection and φ is the *-morphism of Proposition (2.4).

We denote by Φ the quotient isomorphism from $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}_2/\mathcal{J}$ onto $C(\mathbb{T})$ induced by φ. Since we know the K-theory of $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2$ by

Theorem (3.1), including a set of generators of the K-groups, and the K -theory of $C(\mathbb{T})$, we can easily deduce the K-theory of $\mathcal{J}$. Indeed, we have the following exact sequence [1, 9.3 p. 67]:

(3.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0\left(\mathcal{J}\right) \arrow[r, "K_0(i)"] & K_0\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2\right)=\mathbb{Z}^2 \arrow[r, "K_0(\varphi)"] & K_0\left(C\left(\mathbb{T}\right)\right) \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\
K_1\left(C\left(\mathbb{T}\right)\right) \arrow[u, "\delta"] & K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_2\right)=\mathbb{Z} \arrow[l, "K_1(\varphi)"'] & K_1\left(\mathcal{J}\right) \arrow[l, "K_1(i)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Each statement in the following argument follows from the exactness of (3.1).Trivially, $K_0\left(\varphi\right)$ is a surjection, so $\beta=0$. Hence $K_1(i)$ is injective. Yet, as $\varphi(U):z\in\mathbb{T}\mapsto z$, we conclude that $K_1\left(\varphi\right)$ is an isomorphism (since it maps a generator to a generator), and thus $K_1(i)=0$. Now $K_1(i)=0$ and $\beta=0$ implies that $K_1\left(\mathcal{J}\right)=0$. Since $K_1(\varphi)$ is surjective, $\delta=0$ and thus $K_0(i)$ is injective. Its image is thus isomorphic to $K_0\left(\mathcal{J}\right)$ and coincide with $\ker K_0\left(\varphi\right)$. Now, $K_0(\varphi)(p)=0$ and $K_0(\varphi)(1-p)=1$ (by Theorem (3.1), p and $1-p$ generate $K_0\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}_2\right)$). Hence the image of $K_0(i)$ is isomorphic to the copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $1-p$ in $K_0\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\rtimes_\sigma\mathbb{Z}_2\right)$. ∎

We can go a little deeper in the structure of the fixed point C*-algebra $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$. Of interest is to compare the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ and its natural restrictions to $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)$ and $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$. The motivation for this comparison is to understand the obstruction to the existence of any nontrivial unitary in $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$ in the sense of K-theory.

Theorem 3.4. *Let θ be the *-epimorphism $C^*(U,V)\twoheadrightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$ defined by $\varphi(U)=\varphi(V):z\in\mathbb{T}\mapsto z$. Let $\mathcal{I}=\ker\theta$. Then $K_0(\mathcal{I})=0$ and $K_1\left(\mathcal{I}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ where the generating unitary in $\mathcal{I}^+=\mathcal{I}+1$ of the K_1 group is UV^*.*

Let $\mathcal{I}_1=C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1\cap\mathcal{I}$. We then have $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1/\mathcal{I}_1=C(\mathbb{T})$. Then $K_1\left(\mathcal{I}_1\right)=0$ while $K_0\left(\mathcal{I}_1\right)=\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We first calculate the K-theory of $\mathcal{I}$. This can be achieved in at least two natural ways: by means of exact sequences (see Remark (3.5)) or directly, by the following simple argument. Let $K_1\left(i\right):K_1\left(\mathcal{I}\right)\longrightarrow K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\right)$ be the K_1 -lift of the canonical inclusion $i:\mathcal{I}\longrightarrow C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)$. We first will identify the range of $K_1(i)$ and then show that $K_1(i)$ is injective.

Let $Z\in M_n\left(\mathcal{I}\right)+1_n$ be a unitary for some $n\in\mathbb{N}$. In particular, Z is a unitary in $M_n\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\right)$. Let $(k,k')\in\mathbb{Z}^2=K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\right)$ be the class $[Z]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)}$ of Z in $K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\right)$. Now, by definition of θ and $\mathcal{I}$, we have $K_1\left(\theta\right)\left([Z]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)}\right)=K_1\left([1]_{C(\mathbb{T})}\right)=0$. Yet, since $\theta(U)=\theta(V)=z\in\mathbb{T}\mapsto z$, we conclude that $K_1\left(\theta\right)\left(k,k'\right)=k+k'$. Hence

$[Z]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)} = (k,-k)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $UV^* - 1 \in \mathcal{I}$ by construction, and $[UV^*]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)} = (1,-1)$, so the range of $K_1(i)$ is the subgroup generated by $(1,-1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2 = K_1(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2))$.

On the other hand, assume now that $[Z]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)} = 0$, i.e. $Z \oplus 1_{m-n}$ is connected to 1 in $M_m(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2))$ for some $m \geq n$. To ease notation, let 1_k be the identity in $M_k(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2))$, let $Y_1 = Z \oplus 1_{m-n}$ and let $(Y_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ be the homotopy of unitaries joining Y_1 to $Y_0 = 1_m$ in $M_m(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2))$. Let Ξ be the the unique *-endomorphism of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ defined by $\Xi(U) = \Xi(V) = U$. For any *-endomorphism η of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ we let $M_m(\eta)$ be the canonical *-endomorphism of $M_m(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2))$ induced from η. Set $Y'_t = Y_t(M_n(\Xi)(Y_t))^*$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Then we check immediately that $M_n(\theta)(Y_t) = 1_m$, so $Y_t - 1_m \in M_m(\mathcal{I})$ by definition. Hence, $(Y'_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is now an homotopy in $M_m(\mathcal{I}) + 1_m$ between Y'_1 and Y'_0. Since $M_n(\Xi)(Y_1) = 1_m$ by construction since $Y_1 \in C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$, we conclude that $Y'_1 = Y_1$ and $Y_0 = Y'_0$ (as $\Xi(1) = 1$). Hence, $[Z]_{\mathcal{I}} = 0$. Therefore, $K_1(i)$ is injective. Consequently, $K_1(\mathcal{I}) = \mathbb{Z}$ generated by the class of UV^*.

A similar argument applies to homotopy of projections. We deduce that $K_0(\mathcal{I}) = \{0\}$.

Now, we turn to the ideal $\mathcal{I}_1$. We recall from Lemma (2.1) the notation $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_{-1} = \{a - \sigma(a) : a \in C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)\}$. Our first observation is that $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. Indeed, since $\theta(U) = \theta(V)$ we have $\theta \circ \sigma = \theta$ and thus $\theta(a - \sigma(a)) = 0$ for all $a \in C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Therefore, $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)/\mathcal{I} = C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1 / (\mathcal{I} \cap C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1)$ since $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) = C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1 \oplus C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_{-1}$ as vector spaces by Lemma (2.1).

Using the six-terms exact sequence and Theorem (3.3), we can compute the K-theory of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_1$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(\mathcal{I}_1) \arrow[r, "K_0(i)"] & K_0(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1) = \mathbb{Z} \arrow[r, "K_0(q)"] & K_0(C(\mathbb{T})) = \mathbb{Z} \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\
K_1(C(\mathbb{T})) = \mathbb{Z} \arrow[u, "\delta"] & K_1(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1) = 0 \arrow[l, "K_1(q)"'] & K_1(\mathcal{I}_1) \arrow[l, "K_1(i)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i and q are again the canonical injection and surjection. Each subsequent argument follows from the exactness of the six-terms sequence. Since $K_0(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1)$ is generated by the class of the unit in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1$ and $q(1) = 1$ generated $K_0(C(\mathbb{T}))$, we conclude that $K_0(q)$ is the identity, so $K_0(i) = 0$ and $\beta = 0$. Hence $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1) = \delta(\mathbb{Z})$ and $K_1(i)$ is injective. Since $K_1(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1) = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ we conclude that $K_1(\mathcal{I}_1) = 0$. Therefore $K_1(q) = 0$, so δ is injective and we get $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1) = \mathbb{Z}$. ∎

Remark 3.5. *There is an alternative calculation of the K-theory of the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ using the simple six-term exact sequence:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(\mathcal{I})=0 \arrow[r, "K_0(i)"] & K_0\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\right)=\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r, "K_0(q)"] & K_0\left(C(\mathbb{T})\right)=\mathbb{Z} \arrow[d, "\beta=0"] \\
K_1\left(C(\mathbb{T})\right)=\mathbb{Z} \arrow[u, "\delta=0"] & K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\right)=\mathbb{Z}^2 \arrow[l, "K_1(q)"'] & K_1\left(\mathcal{I}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \arrow[l, "K_1(i)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

corresponding to the defining exact sequence $\mathcal{I} \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right) \overset{q}{\twoheadrightarrow} C(\mathbb{T})$ with i the canonical injection and q the canonical surjection. Now, $K_0\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\right)$ is generated by 1, and as $q(1)=1$ we see that $K_0(q)$ is the identity. Hence $\beta=0$ and $K_0(i)=0$ so $K_0\left(\mathcal{I}\right)=\delta(\mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand, $K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\right)$ is generated by U and V, respectively identified with $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2$. We have $q(U)=q(V):z\mapsto z$ which is the generator of $K_1\left(C(\mathbb{T})\right)$, so $K_1(q)$ is surjective and thus $\delta=0$. Hence $K_0\left(\mathcal{I}\right)=0$. On the other hand, $\ker K_1(q)$ is the group generated by $(1,-1)$, the class of UV^*. Thus $K_1(i)$, which is an injection, is in fact a bijection from $K_1\left(\mathcal{I}\right)$ onto its range $\ker K_1(q)$ and thus $K_1\left(\mathcal{I}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ generated by UV^*, as indeed $q(UV^*-1)=0$ and thus $UV^*-1\in\mathcal{I}$.

It is not too surprising that $K_1\left(\mathcal{I}_1\right)=0$ since $K_1\left(\mathcal{I}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $UV^*(-1)$ which is an element in $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_{-1}$ of class $(1,-1)$ in $K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\right)$, so it is not connected to any unitary in $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$. Of course, this is not a direct proof of this fact, as homotopies in $M_n(\mathcal{I}_1)+I_n$ ($n\in\mathbb{N}$) is a more restrictive notion than in $M_n\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1\right)$ ($n\in\mathbb{N}$). But more remarkable is the fact that $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$ contains some nontrivial element. Of course, $\mathcal{I}_1$ is projectionless since $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)$ is by [2], so the projection generating $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$ is at least (and in fact, exactly in) $M_2\left(\mathcal{I}_1\right)+I_2$. We now turn to an explicit description of the generator of $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$ and we investigate why this projection is trivial in both $K_0\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1\right)$ and $K_0\left(\mathcal{I}\right)$ but not in $K_0\left(\mathcal{I}_1\right)$. By exactness of the six-terms exact sequence, this projection is exactly the obstruction to the nontriviality of $K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1\right)$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\theta : C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)\longrightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$ be the *-homomorphism defined by $\theta(U)=\theta(V):z\in\mathbb{T}\mapsto z$. Let $\mathcal{I}_1=\left\{a\in C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1:\theta(a)=0\right\}$. Let $Z=\frac{1}{2}\left(U+V\right)\in C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$. Let β be the projection in $M_2\left(\mathcal{I}_1\right)+I_2$ defined by:*

$$\beta=\left[\begin{array}{cc} Z^*Z & Z^*\left(\sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*}\right) \\ \left(\sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*}\right)Z & 1-ZZ^* \end{array}\right].$$

Then the generator of $K_0\left(\mathcal{I}_1\right)$ is $[\beta]_{\mathcal{I}_1}-[p_2]_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ where $p_2=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1&0\\0&0\end{array}\right]$ and, for any C^*-algebra A, we denote by $[q]_A$ the K_0 -class of any projection

$q \in M_n(A)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, the projection β is homotopic to p_2 in $M_2(\mathcal{I}) + I_2$ and in $M_2(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1)$. Thus $[\beta]_{\mathcal{I}} = 0$ in $K_0(\mathcal{I})$ and $[\beta]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1} = [p_2]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1} = [1]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1}$ in $K_0(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1)$.

A simple calculation shows that β is a projection. We organize the proof of Theorem (3.6) in several lemmas. We start with the two quick observations that β is homotopic to p_2 in $M_2(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1)$ and in $M_2(\mathcal{I}) + I_2$, and then we prove that $[\beta]_{\mathcal{I}_1} \neq [p_2]_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ in $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$.

Lemma 3.7. *The projection β and the projection $1-p_2$ are homotopic in $M_2(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1)$. Thus $[\beta]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1} = [1]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1}$.*

Proof. For all $t \in [0,1]$ we set:

$$\beta_t = \begin{bmatrix} t^2 Z^* Z & tZ^* \sqrt[2]{1 - tZZ^*} \\ \left(\sqrt[2]{1-tZZ^*}\right) tZ & 1 - tZZ^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $(\beta_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is by construction an homotopy in $M_2(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1)$ between $\beta_1 = \beta$ and $\beta_0 = 1 - p_2$. Trivially $1 - p_2$ and p_2 are homotopic, and $[p_2]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1} = [1]_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1}$, hence our result. ∎

The important observation in the proof of Lemma (3.7) is that although $\beta_t \in M_2(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, we have

$$\theta(tZ\sqrt[2]{1 - tZZ^*})(z) = tz\sqrt[2]{1-t} \neq 0$$

for $t \in (0,1)$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}$, so β_t does not belong to the ideals $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}_1$.

Since $K_0(\mathcal{I}) = 0$ it is trivial that the class of β in $K_0(\mathcal{I})$ is null, but the exact reason why it is so is interesting as a way to contrast with the calculations of the class of β in $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$.

Lemma 3.8. *In $M_2(\mathcal{I}) + I_2$ the projection β is homotopic to p_2. Hence in $K_0(\mathcal{I})$ we verify that we have indeed $[\beta]_{\mathcal{I}} = 0$.*

Proof. The unitary equivalence in Lemma (3.9) does not carry to the unitalization of the ideal $\mathcal{I}$, but we can check that β is homotopic to p_2 in $M_2(\mathcal{I}) + I_2$. Set $Z_t = \frac{1}{2}(tU + (1-t)V)$ and set:

$$\beta_t = \begin{bmatrix} Z_t^* Z_t & Z_t^* \left(\sqrt[2]{1 - Z_t Z_t^*}\right) \\ \left(\sqrt[2]{1 - Z_t Z_t^*}\right) Z_t & 1 - Z_t Z_t^* \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$. As before, β_t is a projection for all $t \in [0,1]$ since $\|Z_t\| = 1$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Now, $\beta_0 = \beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ while $\beta_{\frac{1}{2}} = \beta$. Of course, $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \mapsto \beta_t$ is continuous. Moreover:

$$(tU + (1-t)V)(tU + (1-t)V)^* = 1 + (t - t^2)(UV^* + VU^*)$$

so $\theta(1 - Z_t Z_t^*) = 0$. Hence, $\beta_t \in M_2(\mathcal{I}) + I_2$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Hence β is homotopic to p_2 in $M_2(\mathcal{I}) + I_2$. ∎

Unlike in the case of Lemma (3.7), the homotopy used in the proof of Lemma (3.9) is in $M_2(\mathcal{I})$, but it is not in $M_2\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1\right)$ and hence not in $M_2(\mathcal{I}_1)$.

The crux of this matter is that β is the obstruction to the existence of a nontrivial element in $K_1\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1\right)$. In view of Lemmas (3.7) and (3.8), we wish to see a concrete reason why β can not have the same class as p_2 in $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$. We start with a useful calculation: since β and p_2 are homotopic in $C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1$, they are unitarily equivalent as well, and we now explicit a unitary implementing this equivalence:

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $Y = \begin{bmatrix} Z^* & \sqrt[2]{1-Z^*Z} \\ \sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*} & -Z \end{bmatrix}$. *Then* Y *is a unitary in* $M_2\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1\right)$ *such that* $Yp_2Y^* = \beta$.

Proof. Observe that $Z^*\left(1-ZZ^*\right) = Z^* - Z^*ZZ^* = (1-Z^*Z)Z^*$. Thus, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get by a trivial induction that $Z^*\left(1-ZZ^*\right)^n = (1-Z^*Z)^n Z^*$. Hence, for any polynomial p by linearity, we have $Z^*\left(p\left(1-ZZ^*\right)\right) = \left(p(1-Z^*Z)\right)Z^*$. By Stone-Weierstrass, we deduce that $Z^*f(1-ZZ^*) = f(1-Z^*Z)Z^*$ for any continuous function f on the spectrum of $1-ZZ^*$ and $1-Z^*Z$ which is the compact $[0,1]$, and in particular for the square root. Therefore:

$$Z^*\sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*} = \left(\sqrt[2]{1-Z^*Z}\right)Z^*. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} YY^* &= \begin{bmatrix} Z^* & \sqrt[2]{1-Z^*Z} \\ \sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*} & -Z \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} Z & \sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*} \\ \sqrt[2]{1-Z^*Z} & -Z^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} Z^*Z+1-Z^*Z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

using (3.2) since $Z^*\sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*} - \left(\sqrt[2]{1-Z^*Z}\right)Z^* = 0$. Similarly, we get $Y^*Y = 1_2$.

Now, we compute Yp_2Y^*:

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} Z^* & \sqrt[2]{1-Z^*Z} \\ \sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*} & -Z \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} Z & \sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*} \\ \sqrt[2]{1-Z^*Z} & -Z^* \end{bmatrix} \\ = &\begin{bmatrix} Z^* & \sqrt[2]{1-Z^*Z} \\ \sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*} & -Z \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} Z & \sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ = &\begin{bmatrix} Z^*Z & Z^*\sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*} \\ \left(\sqrt[2]{1-ZZ^*}\right)Z & 1-ZZ^* \end{bmatrix} = \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Last, we observe that $\sigma(Z) = Z$ by construction and thus $\sigma(Y) = Y$ as well: in other words, $Y \in M_2\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1\right)$ (and we recover that β is unitarily equivalent in $M_2\left(C^*\left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)_1\right)$ to p_2). ∎

Note that $Z-\lambda 1\notin\mathcal{I}$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ and so Y does not belong to $M_2(\mathcal{I})+1_2$. Indeed, the following lemma shows that β and p_2 do not have the same K-class in $\mathcal{I}_1$, precisely because the conjunction of the conditions of symmetry and being in the kernel of θ make it impossible to deform one into the other, even though each condition alone does not create any obstruction.

Lemma 3.10. *We have* $[\beta]_{\mathcal{I}_1}-[p_2]_{\mathcal{I}_1}\neq 0$ *in* $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$.

Proof. To prove Theorem (3.6), it remains to show that $[\beta]_{\mathcal{I}_1}-[p_2]_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ is a generator for $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$. Let $\delta: K_1(C(\mathbb{T}))\longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$ be the exponential map in the six-term exact sequence in K-theory induced by the exact sequence $0\to\mathcal{I}_1\to C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1\xrightarrow{\theta}C(\mathbb{T})\to 0$. Let us denote by z the canonical unitary $z:\omega\in\mathbb{T}\mapsto\omega$ in $C(\mathbb{T})$. Let us also denote by θ_2 the map induced by θ on $M_2(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2))$. By [7, Proposition 9.2.3], if u is any unitary in $M_2(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1)$ such that $\theta_2(u)=\begin{bmatrix} z & 0\\ 0 & z^*\end{bmatrix}$, then $\delta([z]_{C(\mathbb{T})})=[up_2u^*]_{\mathcal{I}_1}-[p_2]_{\mathcal{I}_1}$. In particular, $\theta_2(Y)=\begin{bmatrix} z & 0\\ 0 & z^*\end{bmatrix}$ so $\delta([z]_{C(\mathbb{T})})=[Yp_2Y^*]_{\mathcal{I}_1}-[p_2]_{\mathcal{I}_1}=[\beta]_{\mathcal{I}_1}-[p_2]_{\mathcal{I}_1}$.

On the other hand, by Theorem (3.4), δ is an isomorphism of group. Since $[z]_{C(\mathbb{T})}$ is a generator of $K_1(C(\mathbb{T}))$ we conclude that $[\beta]_{\mathcal{I}_1}-[p_2]_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ is a generator of $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$. ∎

We thus have proven Theorem (3.6) by identifying $[\beta]_{\mathcal{I}_1}-[p_2]_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ as the generator of $K_0(\mathcal{I}_1)$ and verifying that without the conjoint conditions of symmetry via σ and θ, the difference of the classes of β and p_2 is null in both $K_0(C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)_1)$ and in $K_0(\mathcal{I})$.

REFERENCES

1. B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, MSRI Publications, vol. 5, 1998.
2. M.-D. Choi, *The full C*-algebra of the free group on two generators*, Pacific J. Math. **87** (1980), no. 1, 41–48.
3. M.-D. Choi and F. Latrémolière, *On representations of C*-crossed-products by actions of order*, (2006), 15 pages.
4. J. Cuntz, *The K-groups for free products of C*-algebras*, Proceedings of the Symposia in Pure Mathematics **38** (1982), no. 1, 81–84.
5. K. R. Davidson, *C*–algebras by examples*, Fields Institute Monographs, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
6. M. Rieffel, *Actions of finite groups on C*-algebras*, Math. Scand. **47** (1980), 157–176.
7. M. Rørdam, F. Larsen, and N. J. Laustsen, *An introduction to K-theory for C*-algebras*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 49, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

8. G. Zeller-Meier, *Produits croisés d'une C*-algèbre par un groupe d' Automorphismes*, J. Math. pures et appl. **47** (1968), no. 2, 101–239.

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto
E-mail address: `choi@math.toronto.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto
E-mail address: `frederic@math.toronto.edu`
URL: `http://www.math.toronto.edu/frederic`